UNDERWATER NOISE MAPPING METHODOLOGIES FOR SHALLOW WATERS

Author: David Santos-Domínguez | Thesis advisor: Soledad Torres-Guijarro | Affiliation: Sonitum (TSC, Universidad de Vigo)

1. Motivation of the work









Methods for evaluation and quantification of underwater noise needed.

European Normative(2008/56/EC) asks for specific solutions but there's no standard answer. Evaluation of the good environmental status of the European waters is needed.

ECODRAGA experience calculating source level of a dredger. Environmental impact studies such as punta Langosteira blastings or Vigo harbor pilot driving.

Shallow water propagation is complex and less investigated, good examples in our area/location: multipath, depth variable speed of sound, influence of the bathymetry and seabed, etc.

2. Objectives

O1 Study and evaluation of the underwater noise measurement methodologies.

O2 Study and evaluation of the Propagation Losses calculation using both experimental and analytic models.

O3 Study and calibration of underwater noise prediction software.

O4 Characterization and classification of the different noise sources available in Ría de Vigo.

O5 Development of noise map construction methodologies.

O6 Construction of an underwater noise map of Ría de Vigo.

3. Research plan

Evaluation of underwater protocols for calculating **6** Study of software propagation models. noise measurement propagation losses based on Comparison of experimental and methodologies [1][2]. experimental measurements. software propagation losses Experimental measurements in Field measurements with methodologies. Calibration of software shallow water. Development of calibrated source. models according to experimental specific measurement protocols. [Jul. 2016-Oct. 2016] / measurements. [Mar. 2016-Jun. 2016] / [May.2017-Jun.2017] **1** Study about the state of art of underwater noise **8** Development of measurement underwater noise map of the methodologies. Using Vigo estuary. Validation databases as sciencedirect, **7** Study of underwater noise mapping using field measurements. scopus, WOK, etc. [Sep.2017-Feb.2018] methodologies development. Evaluation [Oct. 2015-Feb. 2016] of the usefulness of the models obtained **4** Underwater noise sources in the previous tasks for the development recording. Measurement of of underwater noise maps, study of the **5** Classification of the recorded various sources of underwater uncertainty of the noise map data sources. Creation of a detailed noise in Ría de Vigo. according to the uncertainty of the input database in web format using data [Jul. 2016-Ago. 2016] data to the model. from sources measurements task. [Jul.2017-Agos.2017] Study and evaluation of automatic classifiers. Development of an Next year planning... (3 to 6) Focusing on the automatic classifier testing the usefulness of the database proposal. calculation of field propagation losses, underwater source [Nov. 2016-Feb. 2017] database creation and study of software propagation models. An article about the database will be send to Applied Acoustics some from 3 and 6 will be presented to UACE2017.

3 Development of methods and



Figure 1: Ría de Vigo underwater noise map recreation

4. Results and discussion

-mepf1

- Task 2 data have been obtained during Adricristuy dredger measurements session [3] in punta Langosteira harbor, A Coruña:
- A methodology to estimate the impact of dredging noise was established.
- A measurement set up and data processing were designed and successfully employed to provide received levels at different depths and distances from the source.
- A virtual image propagation model that considers source and receiver depths, sea depth and salinity, water and seabed density, and sound speed in both the water and in the sediment, is programmed to estimate the propagation losses, and adjusted to fit the measured levels at various distances from the source.
- Estimations of the source levels using estimated propagation losses were obtained.

These results have been presented at Internoise 2013 [3].

The most important research results are synthetized in figures 2 and 3:

-Figure 2 presents measurement setup used to provide reliable levels at different distances. Results pointed also that levels obtained at different depths (5m separation) can differ up to 10dB.

-Figure 3 shows the SL obtained for Adricristuy compared with other dredger measurements from [2]. As can be seen, the values obtained using our methodology differ considerably with other measurements. Causes of these differences could be:

- Our propagation model is too simple and underestimates propagation losses.
- The other ships have bigger dimensions than our dredger,

As a conclusion, a more sophisticated model for sound propagation will be tested.



180<u>-</u>

Figure 2: Measurement setup tested

Figure 3: SL results comparison

5. References

[1] ANSI/ASA S12.64-2009/Part 1, "Quantities and Procedures for Description and Measurement of Underwater Sound from Ships - Part 1: General Requirements", American National Standards Institute, New York, (2009).

[2] S P Robinson, P D Theobald, G Hayman, L S Wang, P A Lepper, V Humphrey, S Mumford, "Measurement of noise arising from marine aggregate dredging operations, Final Report. MALSF (MEPF Ref no. 09/P108)", Published by the MALSF, ISBN 978 0907545 57 6 (2011).

[3] Soledad Torres-Guijarro, Manuel Sobreira-Seoane, David Santos-Domínguez and Antonio Pena, "Evaluation of underwater dredging noise", 42nd International Congress and Exposition on Noise Control Engineering (Internoise 2013), Innsbruck, Austria, September 2013.