Study of the Uncertainty and its Minimization in the Measurement of Antenna Gain and Electromagnetic fields



Isabel Expósito Pérez

Advisors

Manuel García Sánchez Íñigo Cuiñas Gómez



UniversidadeVigo



Signal Theory and Communications Department – University of Vigo

Motivation of the work

TRUE VALUE = MEASUREMENT Telecommunication services require precise measurements of antenna parameters. Accepted measurement procedures but no standard for uncertainty.

Thesis objectives

Main objective → characterise uncertainty contributors in antenna gain and electromagnetic field measurements and how to mitigate them:

State-of-art of uncertainty in antenna and electromagnetic field

± UNCERTAINTY New modulation schemas in communications, measurement equipment and exposure legislation require a correct assessment of the electromagnetic field.

Quantitative indication of the reliability of the measurement result.

Allows< comparison with references or values obtained by others [1].

Implies assessment of all error sources and possible corrections.

measurements.

BOTH

- Identification of the factors contributing to uncertainty.
- Simulations and measurements to quantify each factor.
- Error correction techniques.
- Uncertainty budget.

Research plan

Uncertainty

sep '15	ene '16	may '16	sep '16	ene '17	may '17	sep '17	ene '18	may '18	sep '18	ene '19	may '19	sep '19	ene '20	may '20	sep '20				
		X	Ĭ.			[Ţ)						
01/12 🛑	01./0/	State-o	of-art anal	ysis															
	01/06		A	ntenna ga	in uncertai	nty factor	's identifica	tion											
										it campaign for antenna gain uncertainty									
										State-or-art, simulations, measurements for electromagnetic field uncertaint									
			01/12							ivieasureu data analysis and uncertainty budget for antenna gain									
									Error correction techniques. New uncertainty bu									ity budget	
													02/04 _			Disseminatio	on & defe	nce	

Next year planning

- Simulation and measurements for electromagnetic field uncertainty.
 Analysis of measurement data for antenna gain.
- Uncertainty budgets.
- Study of error correction techniques.

Results & Discussion

ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD



Uncertainties due to:

- Measurement equipment
- Resolution
- Frequency response
- Linearity
- Isotropy
- Calibration
- Temperature drift....
- Measured signal
 - Modulation
- Load conditions:

E.g. Changes in the field strength due to user load variations lead to uncertainty in EM field exposure assessment [2]. Assessment of uncertainty in EM field exposure measurements due to user load variations:

- 1. Generation of waveforms with different user load.
- 2. Simulation of a measurement with an ideal probe.
- 3. Measurements with real probes.





Partial derivatives $c_R = \partial G / \partial R$; $u_R(G) = |c_R|u(R)$

Calibrated device (tape, laser...) with reported U(R)

- Calibration uncertainty
- Scale uncertainty

<u>Misalignment</u>: polarization, BW & gain dependant

Influence of environmental conditions in electromagnetic measurements uncertainty [3]:

- Always present regardless of the method and facility used.
- Attenuation due to humidity: $A = \gamma_w \cdot d$



Thermo-hygrometer uncertainty









References

1. I. Expósito, M. García Sánchez, I. Cuiñas, "Analysis of electromagnetic measurements in intercomparisons with low number of participants", 11th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation, 2017 (EuCAP 2017).

2. I. Expósito, M. G. Sánchez and I. Cuiñas, "Uncertainty in Field-Level Measurements of LTE Signals Associated With User Load," IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 596-599, April 2018.

3. I. Expósito, M. G. Sánchez and I. Cuiñas, "Computing the Influence of Environmental Conditions in Electromagnetic Measurements Uncertainty", IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, under review.

4. LTE Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) User Equipment (UE) radio transmission and reception, 3GPP TS 36.101, version 13.3.0, release 13, May 2017

5. R. Vogt-Ardatjew, R. Serra, L. G. Hiltz and F. Leferink, "Response time of electromagnetic field strength probes," Asia-Pacific Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, May. 2013

6. D. Stratakis, A. Miaoudakis, C. Katsidis, V. Zacharopoulos and T. Xenos, "On the uncertainty estimation of electromagnetic field measurements using field sensors: a general approach", Radiation Protection Dosimetry, vol. 133, no. 4, pp. 240-247, Apr. 2009.

7. L.H Hemming, "Electromagnetic Anechoic Chambers: A Fundamental Design and Specification Guide", IEEE Press/Wiley, 2002.

8. BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ISO, IUPAP, IUPAC, OIML, "Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement", 1995

9. Attenuation by atmospheric gases, ITU-R Recommendation P.676-11, Sep. 2016.

10. M. A. Saporetti, L. Foged, M. Sierra Castañer, S. Pivnenko, R. Cornelius, and D. Heberling, "Description and Results: Antenna Measurement Facility Comparisons," IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 108-116, Jun. 2017.